

# THE PARITY OF THETA CHARACTERISTICS IS PRESERVED BY INFINITESIMAL DEFORMATIONS

MARGARIDA MENDES LOPES, RITA PARDINI, AND ROBERTO PIGNATELLI

ABSTRACT. In this note, given a family of relative dimension one over a smooth curve, we determine the parity of the restriction of a relative theta characteristic to an arbitrary multiple of a fiber in terms of the parity of the restriction to a general fibre.

This result can be regarded as a variant of the well-known theorem on the invariance of the parity of theta characteristics in families.

As a corollary, we obtain that the torsion subsheaf of the first higher direct image sheaf of a relative theta characteristic splits as a direct sum of two isomorphic sheaves.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 14H10; Secondary 14B10, 14D06.

*Keywords:* Parity of Theta Characteristic, torsion of higher direct image sheaves, fibered surfaces.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Proofs	2
References	9

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We work over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic  $\neq 2$ .

In [Mu71] Mumford has given an algebraic proof, independent of the theory of theta functions, of the classically known fact that the parity of theta-characteristics is constant in families. We recall below a generalized version of this result, due to Harris (see also [Co89]):

---

Research partially supported by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT), Portugal, through CAMGSD, IST-ID, projects UID/4459/2025, UIDB/04459/2020 and UIDP/04459/2020, by the European Union - Next Generation EU, Mission 4 Component 2 - CUP E53D23005400001 and by PRIN 2022BTA242 "Geometry of algebraic structures: Moduli, Invariants, Deformation" of Italian MUR. Part of this project was carried out while the authors were guests of the Research in Pairs program of CIRM-Trento. The first named author is a member of Centro de Análise Matemática, Geometria e Sistemas Dinâmicos. The second and third named authors are members of GNSAGA of INDAM. We are indebted to Barbara Fantechi for explaining us how to use Cohomology and Base Change in this context.

**Theorem.** ([Ha82, Theorem 1.10.(i)]) *Let  $\Delta$  be an irreducible variety, let  $\pi: X \rightarrow \Delta$  be a proper flat map with fibers  $C_t := \pi^{-1}(t)$  reduced curves, let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $X$  and set  $\mathcal{L}_t := \mathcal{L}|_{C_t}$ .*

*If  $\mathcal{L}_t^{\otimes 2} \cong \omega_{C_t}$  for all  $t \in \Delta$ , then the function  $t \mapsto h^0(C_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$  is constant modulo 2.*

In this note we prove an infinitesimal version of the above result:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a smooth connected curve and let  $\pi: X \rightarrow \Delta$  a projective flat morphism whose fibers  $C_t := \pi^{-1}(t)$  are reduced connected curves and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $X$ .*

*If  $\mathcal{L}_t^{\otimes 2} \cong \omega_{C_t}$  for all  $t \in \Delta$ , then  $h^0(kC_t, \mathcal{L}|_{kC_t}) = kh^0(C_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$  modulo 2 for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  and for all  $t \in \Delta$ .*

*Fixed  $t \in \Delta$ , the even numbers  $kh^0(C_t, \mathcal{L}_t) - h^0(kC_t, \mathcal{L}|_{kC_t})$  form a non-decreasing sequence, indexed by  $k$ .*

The last sentence may be seen as an infinitesimal version of semicontinuity. Combining the two previous theorems, we obtain:

**Corollary 1.2.** *In the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there is a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $\Delta$  such that the torsion subsheaf of  $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{L}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{T}$ .*

The proofs are given in the next section.

Our interest in this question arose in studying surfaces of general type with canonical map of odd degree (cf. [MLPP26]). The fact that the parity of theta characteristics is constant in families is crucial throughout our analysis of such surfaces but it does not suffice to deal with some of the possible cases, that we finally managed to rule out by means of Corollary 1.2.

We think however that Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 are of independent interest. Actually, as suggested by J. Kollár, whom we thank warmly, it is natural to ask whether Theorem 1.1 can be generalized to the case where  $B$  is any local artinian  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra. Namely, given a flat family of curves  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } B$  with closed fiber  $X_0$  and a relative theta characteristic  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ , is it true that the number  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} B \cdot h^0(\mathcal{L}|_{X_0}) - h^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  is even?

We do not know the answer to this question; we just observe that our method of proof does not extend to the general situation (cf. Example 2.2).

## 2. PROOFS

**2.1. Preliminary results.** The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses some auxiliary results that we now explain.

Let  $\Delta$  be a smooth connected curve, fix  $\bar{t} \in \Delta$ , set  $A := \mathcal{O}_{\Delta, \bar{t}}$ ,  $B_k := A/s^k A$ , where  $s \in A$  a local parameter.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $q > 0$  be an integer and let  $\psi: A^q \rightarrow A^q$  be an  $A$ -linear map given by a skew-symmetric matrix  $M$ ; for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  let  $\psi_k: B_k^q \rightarrow B_k^q$  be the map induced by  $M$  and let  $r_k$  be the dimension of  $\text{Im } \psi_k$  as a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space.*

Then  $\{r_k\}$  is a non-decreasing sequence of even integers.

*Proof.* Writing  $M$  as

$$M = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s^j M_j \quad \text{mod } s^k$$

we get skew-symmetric matrices  $M_j$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{K}$ . Denote by  $r_k$  the dimension as a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space of the image of  $\psi_k$ . Let  $c_1, \dots, c_q$  be the standard basis of  $B_k^q$  as a  $B_k$ -module. Then

$$(2.1) \quad c_1, \dots, c_q, sc_1, \dots, sc_q, s^2c_1, \dots, s^{k-1}c_q$$

is a basis of  $B_k^q$  as a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space. We now use this basis to associate a matrix with the operator  $\psi_k$ , but we order it differently when using it as a basis for the domain or as a basis for the codomain. Precisely we order it as in (2.1) as a basis of the domain and as

$$s^{k-1}c_1, \dots, s^{k-1}c_q, s^{k-2}c_1, \dots, s^{k-2}c_q, s^{k-3}c_1, \dots, c_q$$

as a basis of the codomain. Then a straightforward computation shows that the matrix associated to  $\psi_k$  with respect to this choice of the bases is the block matrix

$$N_k := \begin{pmatrix} M_{k-1} & M_{k-2} & M_{k-3} & \cdots & M_0 \\ M_{k-2} & M_{k-3} & M_{k-4} & \cdots & 0 \\ M_{k-3} & M_{k-4} & M_{k-5} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

As all the matrices  $M_j$  are skewsymmetric,  $N_k$  is skewsymmetric as well, and therefore  $r_k$ , which equals the rank of  $N_k$ , is even.

Finally, we note that  $N_k$  is a submatrix of  $N_{k+1}$ , and therefore the sequence of even numbers  $\{r_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is non-decreasing.  $\square$

Lemma 2.1 is key for the proof of Theorem 1.1. The following example shows that it does not hold in general for a local  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra  $A$  and an artinian quotient  $B = A/I$ .

**Example 2.2.** Set  $A = \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ ,  $I = (x, y)^2$  and  $B := A/I$ . Consider the skewsymmetric matrix

$$M := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & y \\ -x & -y & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let  $\bar{\psi}: B^3 \rightarrow B^3$  be the map induced by  $M$ . The image of  $\bar{\psi}$  is spanned as a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space by the independent vectors:

$${}^t(0, 0, x), \quad {}^t(0, 0, y), \quad {}^t(x, y, 0)$$

and therefore it has odd dimension.

Let  $V$  be a free  $A$ -module of rank  $2r$  with a symmetric bilinear form  $Q: V \times V \rightarrow A$  whose reduction modulo  $s$ ,  $\bar{Q}: \bar{V} \times \bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ , is non degenerate. Assume that  $W_1, W_2 \subset V$  are free rank  $r$  submodules such that  $V/W_i$  is free for  $i = 1, 2$ . In particular, the map  $W_i \otimes_A B_k \rightarrow V \otimes_A B_k$  is injective for  $i = 1, 2$  for all  $k$ .

Set now, for all  $k \geq 1$

$$q_k := \dim_{\mathbb{K}}((W_1 \otimes_A B_k) \cap (W_2 \otimes_A B_k))$$

Then we get the following infinitesimal version of the permanence of the parity of the dimension of the intersection of two maximal isotropic subspaces:

**Lemma 2.3.** *In the above set-up, if  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are totally isotropic for  $Q$ , then the sequence  $\{kq_1 - q_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a non-decreasing sequence of even numbers.*

*Proof.* Given an  $A$ -module  $N$  we write  $\bar{N} := N/sN$  and for  $z \in N$  we denote by  $\bar{z} \in \bar{N}$  its image.

We start by showing that any basis  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  of  $W_1$  as  $A$ -module can be completed to a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_r, f_1, \dots, f_r$  of  $V$  such that  $Q(f_i, f_j) = 0$  and  $Q(f_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ .

The natural map  $V \rightarrow W_1^\vee$  induced by  $Q$  is surjective, since  $W_1$  is a direct summand of  $V$  and  $\bar{Q}$  is non degenerate. So we may find  $w_1, \dots, w_r \in V$  such that  $Q(w_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq r$  and set  $f_i := w_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r Q(w_i, w_j) e_j$ .

We set for sake of simplicity  $q := q_1$ . By definition of  $q_k$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(\bar{W}_1 \cap \bar{W}_2) = q$ . We choose a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  of  $W_1$  such that  $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_q$  is a basis of  $\bar{W}_1 \cap \bar{W}_2$  and complete it to a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_r, f_1, \dots, f_r$  of  $V$  as above.

One can pick a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  of  $W_2$  such that  $\bar{v}_i = \bar{e}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, q$  and such that  $\bar{v}_i = \bar{f}_i + \sum_{j=q+1}^r a_{ij} \bar{e}_j$  for  $i = q+1, \dots, r$  and some scalars  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{K}$ . Since  $\bar{W}_2$  is a totally isotropic subspace, the matrix  $(a_{ij})$  is skewsymmetric. So, replacing  $f_i$  by  $f_i + \sum_{j=q+1}^r a_{ij} e_j$  for  $i = q+1, \dots, r$ , we may assume in addition that  $\bar{v}_i = \bar{f}_i$  for  $i = q+1, \dots, r$ .

Denote by  $U_1 \subset V$  the span of  $e_1, \dots, e_q, f_{q+1}, \dots, f_r$  and by  $U_2$  the span of  $f_1, \dots, f_q, e_{q+1}, \dots, e_r$ , so that  $V = U_1 \oplus U_2$  is a decomposition as the sum of totally isotropic subspaces. As  $\bar{W}_2 = \bar{U}_1$ , by Nakayama's Lemma the projection  $V \rightarrow U_1$  with kernel  $U_2$  restricts to a surjective map, hence an isomorphism,  $W_2 \rightarrow U_1$ . So we may write

$$v_i = e_i + sz_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, q \quad v_i = f_i + sz_i \text{ for } i = q+1, \dots, r$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad z_i = \sum_{j=q+1}^r \lambda_{ij} e_j + \sum_{j=1}^q \mu_{ij} f_j$$

Then  $(W_1 \otimes_A B_k) + (W_2 \otimes_A B_k)$  is generated, as a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space, by the classes modulo  $s^k$  of:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & e_1, \dots, e_r, \dots, s^{k-1}e_1, \dots, s^{k-1}e_r, \\ & v_{q+1}, \dots, v_r, \dots, s^{k-1}v_{q+1}, \dots, s^{k-1}v_r \\ & s \sum_{j=1}^q \mu_{1j} f_j, \dots, s \sum_{j=1}^q \mu_{qj} f_j, \dots, s^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^q \mu_{1j} f_j, \dots, s^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^q \mu_{qj} f_j. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that for every vanishing linear combination with coefficients in  $\mathbb{K}$  of the classes in (2.3) the coefficients of the classes in the first two rows are trivial, and therefore

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}((W_1 \otimes_A B_k) + (W_2 \otimes_A B_k)) = k(2r - q) + r_k$$

where  $r_k$  is the dimension of the complex vector subspace of  $V \otimes_A B_k$  generated by the classes in the last row of (2.3).

By the Grassman formula

$$q_k = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}((W_1 \otimes_A B_k) \cap (W_2 \otimes_A B_k)) = kq - r_k.$$

Consider the  $q \times q$  matrix  $M = (s\mu_{ij})_{i=1, \dots, q}^{j=1, \dots, q}$  with entries in  $A$ . Since  $W_2$  is totally isotropic, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq q$  one has  $0 = Q(v_i, v_j) = s(\mu_{ij} + \mu_{ji}) + s^2Q(z_i, z_j)$ . By (2.2)  $Q(z_i, z_j) = 0$  and therefore  $\mu_{ij} + \mu_{ji} = 0$ . So  $M$  is skewsymmetric and  $r_k$  is a non-decreasing sequence of even numbers by Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

We recall also the following well known fact:

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\Delta := \text{Spec } R$  be a smooth affine curve, let  $\pi: X \rightarrow \Delta$  be a proper morphism with 1-dimensional fibers and let  $F$  be a coherent sheaf on  $X$  flat over  $\Delta$ . Then there exists a two term complex  $M^\bullet: 0 \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow M^1 \rightarrow 0$  of finitely generated locally free modules and an isomorphism of functors*

$$H^p(X \times_{\Delta} \text{Spec } B, F \otimes_R B) \cong H^p(M^\bullet \otimes_R B), \quad p \geq 0$$

on the category of  $R$ -algebras  $B$ .

*Proof.* By the Theorem in §5 of Chapter II of [Mu70] there is a finite complex  $K^\bullet: 0 \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow 0$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules and an isomorphism of functors

$$H^p(X \times_{\Delta} \text{Spec } B, F \otimes_R B) \cong H^p(K^\bullet \otimes_R B), \quad p \geq 0$$

on the category of  $R$ -algebras. Since  $\Delta$  is a smooth curve the modules  $K^\bullet$  and all their submodules are locally free. Set  $M^0 := K^0$  and  $M^1 := \ker(K^1 \rightarrow K^2)$ . The complex  $M^\bullet := 0 \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow M^1 \rightarrow 0$  has a natural map to  $K^\bullet$  which is a quasi-isomorphism, since the fibers of  $\pi$  are 1-dimensional. We conclude by applying Lemma 2 in §5 of Chapter II of [Mu70] to the map of complexes of flat modules  $M^\bullet \rightarrow K^\bullet$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *In the assumptions of Lemma 2.4, if  $B$  is an  $R$ -algebra then:*

- (i)  $H^1(X \times_{\Delta} B, F \otimes_R B) \cong H^1(X, F) \otimes_R B$
- (ii) *if  $H^1(X, F) = 0$ , then  $H^0(X \times_{\Delta} B, F \otimes_R B) \cong H^0(X, F) \otimes_R B$*

*Proof.* Let  $M^{\bullet} := 0 \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow M^1 \rightarrow 0$  be the complex given by Lemma 2.4. There is an exact sequence

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(X, F) \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow M^1 \rightarrow H^1(X, F) \rightarrow 0$$

and  $H^i(X \times_{\Delta} B, F \otimes_R B)$  is the  $i$ -th cohomology of  $M^{\bullet} \otimes_R B$ . So (i) is a consequence of the fact that tensor product is right exact.

If  $H^1(X, F) = 0$ , then (2.4) gives a short exact sequence

$$(2.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(X, F) \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow M^1 \rightarrow 0$$

Since  $M^1$  is locally free, and flatness is a local property (see [TSP] Lemma 00HT) then  $M^1$  is flat and therefore, by Lemma 00HL of [TSP], (2.5) stays exact after tensoring with  $B$ . So  $H^0(X, F) \otimes_R B \cong H^0(M^{\bullet} \otimes_R B)$ , proving (ii).  $\square$

**2.2. Proof of Thm. 1.1.** The proof follows the same lines as the proofs of [Mu71, §1] and [Ha82, Theorem 1.10.(i)].

The statement is local, hence we work near a fixed point  $\bar{t} \in \Delta$  and we denote by  $C$  the fiber  $C_{\bar{t}}$  and by  $L$  the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{t}} = \mathcal{L}|_{C_{\bar{t}}}$ . We pick  $p_1, \dots, p_N$  smooth points of  $C$  such that  $h^0(C, L(-D)) = h^1(C, L(D)) = 0$ , where  $D = \sum p_i$ , and consider the following diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L(-D) & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & L/L(-D) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L(-D) & \longrightarrow & L(D) & \longrightarrow & L(D)/L(-D) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & L(D)/L & = & L(D)/L \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

Taking cohomology in (2.6) one gets another exact diagram:

(2.7)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(L) & \longrightarrow & H^0(L/L(-D)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(L(-D)) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(L(D)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(L(D)/L(-D)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(L(-D)) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & H^0(L(D)/L) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^0(L(D)/L) & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

Set  $\bar{V} := H^0(L(D)/L(-D))$ ,  $\bar{W}_1 := H^0(L/L(-D))$ ,  $\bar{W}_2 := H^0(L(D))$ . Arguing as in [Mu71, §1] and in the proof of [Ha82, Theorem 1.10.(i)] one shows that  $\dim \bar{V} = 2N$  and, using the isomorphism  $2L \cong \omega_C$  constructs a non-degenerate bilinear form  $\bar{Q}: \bar{V} \times \bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  such that  $\bar{W}_1$  and  $\bar{W}_2$  are maximal isotropic subspaces. Chasing through diagram (2.7) it is easy to check that  $H^0(L)$  can be identified with  $\bar{W}_1 \cap \bar{W}_2$ .

The next step, still following [Mu71, §1] and the proof of [Ha82, Theorem 1.10.(i)], consists in giving a relative version of this construction. Étale locally we may assume that  $p_1, \dots, p_N$  are cut out on  $C$  by disjoint sections  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N$  of  $\pi$  contained in the smooth locus of  $X$ . Write  $\mathcal{D} := \sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_N$ ; up to shrinking  $\Delta$  we may assume  $\pi_*(\mathcal{L}(-\mathcal{D})) = R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})) = 0$ . Then we have the following exact diagram, the relative version of (2.7):

(2.8)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_*\mathcal{L} & \longrightarrow & \pi_*(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}(-\mathcal{D})) & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{L}(-\mathcal{D})) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_*(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})) & \longrightarrow & \pi_*(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})/\mathcal{L}(-\mathcal{D})) & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{L}(-\mathcal{D})) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \pi_*(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})/\mathcal{L}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \pi_*(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})/\mathcal{L}) & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

We observe that, possibly up to shrinking  $\Delta$  further, we may assume:

- $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{L}(-\mathcal{D})$  is free, since it has constant rank, hence the middle row of diagram (2.8) is split

- $\pi_*(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})/\mathcal{L})$  is also free, since  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \Delta$  is a finite flat map, hence the middle column of diagram (2.8) is also split
- the sheaves  $\mathcal{V} := \pi_*(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})/\mathcal{L}(-\mathcal{D}))$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_1 := \pi_*(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}(-\mathcal{D}))$  and  $\mathcal{W}_2 := \pi_*(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}))$  are free

Set  $A := \mathcal{O}_{\Delta, \bar{t}}$ , let  $s \in A$  be a local parameter and let  $B_k := A/s^k A$ , where  $0 < k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Tensoring diagram (2.8) with  $B_k$  gives:

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{W}_1 \otimes B_k) \cap (\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes B_k) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{W}_1 \otimes B_k & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_*(\mathcal{L}(-\mathcal{D})) \otimes B_k \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes B_k & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{V} \otimes B_k & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_*(\mathcal{L}(-\mathcal{D})) \otimes B_k \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & \pi_*(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})/\mathcal{L}) \otimes B_k & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

By the previous remarks diagram (2.9) is exact; in addition all the direct image sheaves appearing in it satisfy cohomology and base change by Corollary 2.5. So, setting  $L_k := \mathcal{L}|_{kC}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_k := \mathcal{D}|_{kC}$  it can be rewritten as:

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{W}_1 \otimes B_k) \cap (\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes B_k) & \longrightarrow & H^0(kC, L_k/L_k(-\mathcal{D}_k)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(kC, L_k(-\mathcal{D}_k)) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(kC, L_k(\mathcal{D}_k)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(kC, L_k(\mathcal{D}_k)/L_k(-\mathcal{D}_k)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(kC, L_k(-\mathcal{D}_k)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & H^0(kC, L_k(\mathcal{D}_k)/L_k) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

The first row of (2.10) gives an identification  $H^0(kC, L_k) \cong (\mathcal{W}_1 \otimes B_k) \cap (\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes B_k)$ . Denote by  $V$ , resp.  $W_1, W_2$ , the stalks at  $\bar{t}$  of  $\mathcal{V}$ , resp.  $\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2$ , so that  $\mathcal{V} \otimes B_k = V \otimes B_k$ , resp.  $\mathcal{W}_1 \otimes B_k = W_1 \otimes B_k$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes B_k = W_2 \otimes B_k$ . Our claim now follows by Lemma 2.3 provided one extends the  $\mathbb{K}$ -bilinear form  $\bar{Q}: \bar{V} \times \bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  to an  $A$ -bilinear form  $Q: V \times V \rightarrow A$  such that  $W_1$  and

$W_2$  are isotropic subspaces. This boils down to being able to take residues of rational sections of  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}$  along the components of  $\mathcal{D}$  and it can be done as in the proof of [Ha82, Theorem 1.10.(i)]. The surface  $X$  is Gorenstein, since  $\Delta$  is smooth and  $\pi$  has Gorenstein fibers, and locally near  $C$  there is an isomorphism  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \cong \omega_\pi$ . In turn,  $\omega_\pi$  restricts to the sheaf relative differentials on the smooth locus of  $X$ .

**2.3. Proof of Corollary 1.2.** The statement is local, so we may assume that the torsion subsheaf  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{L}$  is supported at a single point  $\bar{t} \in \Delta$ . We write again  $A := \mathcal{O}_{\Delta, \bar{t}}$  and  $B_k := A/s^k A$  for  $s \in A$  a local parameter. Since  $A$  is a DVR, there is a decomposition  $\mathcal{R} = A/s^{r_1} A \oplus \cdots \oplus A/s^{r_m} A$ , where  $1 \leq r_1 \leq \cdots \leq r_m$ . For  $j \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  we let  $m_j$  be the number of indices  $i$  such that  $r_i \geq j$  (e.g.,  $m_1 = m$ ). The statement is equivalent to showing that all the  $m_j$  are even.

For  $t \in \Delta$  denote by  $C_t$  the fiber of  $\pi$  over  $t$  and set  $C := C_{\bar{t}}$ ,  $L_k := \mathcal{L}|_{kC}$ . Denote by  $q_0$  the rank of  $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{L}$ ; for  $t \neq \bar{t} \in \Delta$ ,  $q_0 = h^1(C_t, \mathcal{L}|_{C_t}) = h^0(C_t, \mathcal{L}|_{C_t})$ , where the second equality follows by Riemann-Roch on  $C_t$ .

By Corollary 2.5,  $h^1(kC, L_k) = kq_0 + m_1 + \cdots + m_k$ . On the other hand, Riemann-Roch on  $kC$  gives  $h^1(kC, L_k) = h^0(kC, L_k)$ . By the constancy of the parity of theta characteristics in families ([Mu71, §1] and [Ha82, Theorem 1.10.(i)]),  $q_0$  and  $h^0(C, L)$  have the same parity, so  $m_1$  is even. Now Theorem 1.1 implies that  $m_1 + \cdots + m_k$  is even for every  $k \geq 2$ , hence all the  $m_j$  are even.

## REFERENCES

- [Co89] M. Cornalba, *Moduli of curves and theta-characteristics*, Lectures on Riemann surfaces (Trieste, 1987), 560–589. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1989
- [Ha82] J. Harris, *Theta-Characteristics on Algebraic Curves*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Jun., 1982, Vol. **271**, No. 2 (Jun., 1982), pp. 611–638
- [MLPP26] M. Mendes Lopes, R. Pardini, R. Pignatelli, *Surfaces with canonical map of odd degree*, arXiv 2604.09216.
- [Mu70] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*. Tata Inst. Fundam. Res. Stud. Math., **5** Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; by Oxford University Press, London, 1970.
- [Mu71] D. Mumford, *Theta characteristics of an algebraic curve*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **4** (1971), 181–192.
- [TSP] *The Stacks Project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/>

Margarida Mendes Lopes  
Centro de Análise Matemática,  
Geometria e Sistemas Dinâmicos,  
Departamento de Matemática  
Instituto Superior Técnico  
Universidade de Lisboa  
Av. Rovisco Pais, 1  
1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal  
mmendeslopes@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

Rita Pardini  
Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università di Pisa  
Largo B. Pontecorvo, 5  
56127 Pisa, Italy  
rita.pardini@unipi.it

Roberto Pignatelli  
Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università di Trento  
via Sommarive 14  
38123 Trento, Italy  
roberto.pignatelli@unitn.it